

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
FORT MYERS DIVISION

TERESA GUIDRY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 2:21-cv-96-SPC-MCR

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

/

OPINION AND ORDER¹

Before the Court is United States Magistrate Judge Monte C. Richardson's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") ([Doc. 36](#)) on Plaintiff's Unopposed Petition for EAJA Fees Pursuant to [28 U.S.C. 2412\(d\)](#) ([Doc. 35](#)). Judge Richardson recommends granting the Motion. Neither party objected, so the matter is ripe for review.

After conducting a careful and complete review of the findings and recommendations, a district judge "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part," the magistrate judge's R&R. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). In the absence of specific objections, there is no requirement that a district judge review the

¹ Disclaimer: Documents hyperlinked to CM/ECF are subject to PACER fees. By using hyperlinks, the Court does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide, nor does it have any agreements with them. The Court is also not responsible for a hyperlink's availability and functionality, and a failed hyperlink does not affect this Order.

R&R *de novo*. See *Garvey v. Vaughn*, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993).

Instead, when parties don't object, a district court need only correct plain error as demanded by the interests of justice. See, e.g., *Symonette v. V.A. Leasing Corp.*, 648 F. App'x 787, 790 (11th Cir. 2016); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150-52 (1985). Plain error exists if (1) "an error occurred"; (2) "the error was plain"; (3) "it affected substantial rights"; and (4) "not correcting the error would seriously affect the fairness of the judicial proceedings." *Farley v. Nationwide Mut. Ins.*, 197 F.3d 1322, 1329 (11th Cir. 1999).

After examining the file independently and upon considering Judge Richardson's findings and recommendations, the Court accepts and adopts the R&R.

Accordingly, it is now

ORDERED:

1. The Report and Recommendation ([Doc. 36](#)) is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** and incorporated into this Order.
2. Plaintiff's Unopposed Petition for EAJA Fees Pursuant to **28 U.S.C. 2412(d)** ([Doc. 35](#)) is **GRANTED**.
 - a. Plaintiff is **AWARDED** \$8,109.38 in attorney's fees.
 - b. If the United States Department of Treasury determines that Plaintiff does not owe a federal debt, the Government may pay these fees directly to Plaintiff's counsel.

3. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff for \$8,109.38 in attorney's fees.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Fort Myers, Florida on January 21, 2022.


SHERI POLSTER CHAPPEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies: All Parties of Record